## JUDICIAL IMPACT FISCAL NOTE

JUDICIA		AC	ı rıst	AL I	NOIE	•	
<b>Bill Number:</b> 5804 SB	Title: Animal Abuse Re		gistry	stry Agency 055 – Ac Courts (A		lmin Office of the	
Part I: Estimates	<b>.</b>			1	,		
☐ No Fiscal Impact							
Estimated Cash Receipts to:							
	FY 2018	FY 2	010 20	17-19	2019-21	2021-23	
	FI ZUIU	[ I L	019 20	17-19	2013-21	ZUZ 1-ZJ	
Total:					<u> </u>		
Estimated Expenditures from		- FV 0	212 000	47.40	2242.04	0004 00	
STATE FTE – Staff Years	FY 2018	FY 2	019 20	17-19	2019-21	2021-23	
Account							
General Fund – State (001-1)							
State Subtotal			+				
COUNTY							
County FTE Staff Years							
Account							
Local - Counties							
Counties Subtotal							
CITY							
City FTE Staff Years							
Account							
Local – Cities							
Cities Subtotal							
Local Subtotal							
Total Estimated Expenditures:							
The revenue and expenditure estir Responsibility for expenditures ma				-	•		
Check applicable boxes and follow	correspondir	ng instru	ctions:				
☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$5 complete entire fiscal note form pa	-	cal year	in the curren	nt biennium	or in subse	quent biennia,	
☑ If fiscal impact is less than \$50, complete this page only (Part I).	000 per fiscal	year in	the current b	iennium or i	n subseque	ent biennia,	
□ Capital budget impact, complete	e Part IV.						
Legislative Contact:			Phone: Date:				
			<b>D</b>		I	- /	

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date:	
Agency Preparation: Sam Knutson	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 2/17/2017	
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date:	
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:	

### **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

This bill would add a new chapter to RCW 16, creating an "animal abuse registry". The bill would require the Washington State Patrol (WSP) to maintain a registry of persons convicted of certain crimes involving animal abuse. The bill would require court clerks to forward a copy of a person's judgment for an animal abuse offense, home address, and other personal information to the WSP within sixty days. The bill would add a \$50 conviction fee imposed on those persons listed on the register.

# Part II.A – Brief Description of what the Measure does that has fiscal impact on the Courts

Section 2(1) – The WSP would be required to maintain a registry of persons convicted of an animal abuse offense and make the registry available for inquiry to (a) all law enforcement, (b) veterinarians, (c) humane societies, animal shelters, animal-welfare organizations, and other groups involved in companion animal adoption program, and (d) animal breeders and retail operators that sell pets.

Section 2(2) – The registry of persons convicted of an animal abuse offense must include the person's name, data of birth, residential address, all animal abuse convictions, and other identifying data that the WSP determines necessary for the public to properly identify the person.

Section 2(3) – The clerk of the court in which a person's conviction for an animal abuse offense occurs must forward, electronically or otherwise, a copy of the judgment document of the conviction and other personal information to the WSP within sixty days of the date of the judgment.

Section 4(1) – An animal abuser who is subject to registration must be assessed a fee of \$50 at the time of the conviction.

Section 4(2) – The \$50 fee assessed under Section 4(1) must be used for the sole purpose of defraying the costs of administering the animal abuse registry.

#### **II.B - Cash Receipt Impact**

Section 4 of this bill would require a \$50 conviction fee that would be assessed at conviction.

The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) reviewed data for a five-year period (2012 through 2016) for charges related to animal cruelty (RCW 16.52.205, 16.52.207, 16.52.117, and 16.52.190). Table I, below, summarizes this data.

2016 Charge Statute 2012 2013 2014 2015 Total Average Animal Cruelty, 1st Degree RCW 16.52.205 0 0 13 Animal Cruelty, 2nd Degree RCW 16.52.207 19 14 43 14 103 21 RCW 16.52.117 Animal Fighting 0 0 0 0 1 2 Poisoning Animals RCW 16.52.190 0 1 0 0 3 1 Total 20 16 13 43 109

Table I – Animal Cruelty Charges 2012 – 2016<sup>1</sup>

Based on this data, if a conviction is assumed for each charge the maximum revenue that would be generated on average would be approximately \$1,200 per year.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Five-year averages are rounded up.

### II.C – Expenditures

Based on caseload data over the five-year period (2012-2016), fiscal impact to the courts would be minimal.